MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 10, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provance, at the Courthouse in the village of Roseau. All managers were present with the exception of manager Kveen.

A discussion was held regarding the including of recreational facilities in the Roseau River Project and it was moved, seconded, and unanimously passed to exclude any recreational facilities in the Roseau River Project at this time.

Also present at the meeting were Lloyd Ofstedal, project engineer, Mr. Erling Wieberg of the Division of Waters, and representatives of the Corps of Army Engineers. The Roseau River Project was discussed in detail. It was moved, seconded, and unanimously passed that the board of managers attempt to borrow the necessary funds to meet the local share of the project cost from the United States Government and that the board should proceed at once to make such an application.

Chester A. Yon, project attorney, was instructed to begin research in order to determine who could be accessed for benefits in connection with the Roseau River Project.

Bills were duly presented and approved and ordered paid

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned

Respectfully submitted,

Maynard Braaten, Acting Secretary

Minutes of the Informational meeting.

Date Feb. 23, 1971. Place County County House

The purpose of the meeting was to meet with various owners of property along the river from the Canadian border in Kittson to the Village of Roseau in Roseau County.

Board members present were Gust Kvien of Roseau, Melvin Larson of Ross, Elby Engstrom Lancaster, Minnesota, Engineer Lloyd Oftedahl of Crookston, Minnesota, and E.H. Nelson of Roseau executive secretary. The meeting was called to order by the secretary and those present were informed that this was an informational meeting and our Engineer would be glad to answers any questions they might have regarding the projest. Our engineer responded stated that he would to the best of his ability with the information he had at hand, answer the questions they might have. Below is a list of the people who attented and asked to he heard;

Darrell Stein Lancaster; He has buildings on the south side of the river which apparently would be close to the river channel and his question was could the channel be moved a little farther north in order to not disurb his lawn in front of the house. Land is in Sec. 35, SW2 Caribon twp. This would be referred to the Army engineers.

John Bready Lancaster; Lives in Sec 29 SW4 Caribon twp. no problems.

Wm C. Schmaltz, Lancaster; Lives in sec. 3 Caribou twp. Has a cattle shed located in $NE_{4}^{1}-SE_{4}^{1}$ of 33. Built in 1968. Notes show a 200 ft Right of way required which would possibly require moving of the shed. Referred to Army engineers.

George Kowaliuk, Lancaster; Sec. 33 Caribou twp. His question was regarding surface water inlet to river from the north side. Was informed that to the best of our knowledge this would be provided by openings in dike.

Mike Broskk, Lancaster,; Sec 34 caribou twp. No problems.

Clarence & Henry Hylland; Questioned the surface waters getting back into the river. Advised this would be provided to the best of our know-ledge.

Elmer Foster, Badger, Minn; Sec. 27 $NE_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Pohlitz Twp. Has a building and well just north of the river and south of the dike. Must have opening to river from the North side. Same advise.

Melford Holland Rossiq Sec 27 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 163-42. Pohlitz. Question was is he going to have to move his house, Also he has now three openings into the river from the south and the blue print show only two, with on on the west section line. Also needs access to land in cutoffs area.

Ferdinad Schmidt, Badger, Minn; Sec. 36 NE₄ Pohlitz. A lso needs access to the land in the cutoff area. Could this be gained by wider dike to drive on.

Cliffor Transgrud, Badger, Minn Sec. 28. NENE & 163-42 Pohlitz. Question regarding culvert of so that the water can get into the river from the south. Map shows no culvert on the west side of roads # 113. A lso needs one on the south side of the river directly accress from Melfords House.

Marvin D. Lindland Badger, Minn; Question regarding culverts on the south side which would be on the $\frac{1}{4}$ like in section 29. There is a culvert in the bank now.

Art & Melvin Nelson Badger, Mign. Sec. 30 -163-42 Pohlitz; Need a culvert thru the dike on the south side which would be on the $\frac{1}{4}$ line section 29. There is a culvert the bank now.

Myron J Johnson Badger, Minn. Sec. 26 -- 163-42 Pohlitz. No. Problems

Obert Sanden, Badger, Minn. Sec 25 E_2^1 NW 163-42 Pohlits; No Questions as he has no land ajoining river.

Jimmy Arneson, Badger, Minn. Sec 27 - 163-42 Pohlitz: Question are thet going to leave the opening into the river on the north side on the line between the east & west half of SE_{\pm}^1 Sec.27. Referred to Army Engineers.

Allbert Lee, Badger, Minn. Sec 29 Dieter. Bldgs in W_2^1 SE $\frac{1}{4}$: Needs opening into the river from the north and south .

Melford Trangsrud, Badger. Mimm. SSection 21 Pohlitz. Same questions.

Clarence Johnson Sec. 30 Dieter. No Problems.

Edger Johnson Deceased Represented by Clarence Johnson Sec.30. Dieter $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ NE. Inlet to river from north side now located in $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $NE_4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ sec. 30 Dieter with trap. This close to the SW corner of the NE 80.

Emil Lee Badger, Minn. Sec 28 Dieter. Thinks the 24" culvert # 41 running into the river from the south side on the $\frac{1}{4}$ line between the S $\frac{1}{4}$ & SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 28 - 163-41.

Ned Dunham Ross, Sec 26 Dieter; Needs opening into the river from the south side located in the W_2^1 of SE_2^1 Sec/26 and another opening east of #47 approximately 80 rods.

Carrie Lee, Ross, Minn. Sec 28 & 29 Dieter; Regarding ditch between east & west half of SE_{4}^{1} Sec. 29. Our blue prints show no opening or culvert into the river from this ditch. There is also a cut in to the river from the north side about 40 rods west of the section line in Sec.29. In section 2/28 there is an opening into the river from the north between the E_{2}^{2} E_{3}^{2} of E_{4}^{2} .

George Jorgenson, Ross, Minn. Sec. 26 Dieter. Needs opening into river from the north just south of his buildings in sec. 26. Also needs one east of his buildings.

We were asked if the army engineers were aware of the Indian Burial grounds in this section.

George Lins Badger, Minn. Sec 30 Dieter also Sec 25 & 26 Pohlitz. Unestion was regarding the 36" culvert # 31 & 32 Sheet # 15 of the blue prints section ## 25 Pohlitz. They question this because the watershed to the south is quite extensive. Roseau county atlas shows this area southe of the inlet to be fed by marked water courses. See page 10 Roseau County Atlas.

Olof Arneson Sec 27 Dieter. Ross, Minn; No problems

Curti Skrotvold appearing for and with Cordelia Skrotvold Section 26 Dieter. No Problems.

Claren Wold appearing for Florence Wold with land in Section 25 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and part SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and part of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25 - 163-41. No Problems

Robert G. Lee, Badger, Minn Section 30 Dieter SE $\frac{1}{4}$; Question on Culvert # 37 Sheet 15 Blue Prints. This ditch drains from ahout $6\frac{1}{8}$ miles south and also some area east. Is the culvert large enough to handle this water.

Lorne Olson Sec. 6 Spruce. No. Problems.

Melvin Larson brought up the question regarding the proposed work on ditch located on section line between 27 and 28 running north into the river. This is being doge under the jurisdickson of the water-shed board of managers I believe. I was asked to contact Ray Sauve county engineer in regard to this and also if the Army Corp of engineer have been notified of this intension as an outlet into the river would be required and this possibly should be done before the river project is completed. I have contacted Mr. Sauve on the phone and he informs me that this will be handled when the time comes before the river projected is completed. To-morrow we continue the interviews with the people starting at the Roseau Lake area and finish with the Village of Roseau.

Signed E.H. Nelson

Executive Secretary.

Schedule for 2/24/71.

9 AM Roseau Lake to Richardson Bridge. 11 AM Richardson Bridge to Nystrom Bridge. 2.30 P.M. Nystrom to city limits of Roseau.

4 P.M. Village of Roseau.

John A. Billberg, Roseau, Minn. Sec22- Jadis. N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Has 160 acres of land in this section which will be inaccessable when the cutooff is dug in unless there is someway to cross the dike and channel. Questioned the 50" culvert entering the cutoff at a paint between section 21 and 22 at about the middle of the section.

A dolph Ulvin Roseau, Minnesta. Sec.23 SE $\frac{1}{2}$ Jadis. Question as to how he can get to the pie shaped area which will be created in the NW $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Theodore Sjaaheim Sec 23 Jadis. Question as to access to be pie shaped area created by the cutoff in the $S_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Gilmet & Marvin Pederson sec 22 Jadis. Same question. The north part of their land in sec. 22 will be cutoff by thenew cutoff. Is there a possibility of building a road from Manfred Holms land in sec. 14 and build along the section line between section 15 and 22.

Leonard Bjorkman sec. 25 Jadis. \mathbb{N}_2^2 . There is a bend in the river at the location of the house on this farm. If the spoil were put on the north side and most of the digging done on the north side this would straighten the river and give moore room between the house and river. Needs access into river from the softh east of the buildings.**

William and Ekla Baumgartner Sec 30 & 31 Jadis. No problems.

Ed. Baumgartner section 36 Jadis. On sheet # 20 structure 61 walls for no gate. Ed and Leonard Bjorkman feel that this culvert should have a gate. A lso between Range 40 and 59 between section 25 in range 40 and section 30 in range 39 there is a large metal culvert of approximately 48 or 60 size with a flap gate.

Rodney Norquist appearing fornStephen A. Norquist. sec.31 Jadis 39. No problems.

* It has also been suggested that the cutoff in section 23 be rerouted and run on the quarter line in section 23 and into section 22 on the quarter line of that section until it hits the river.

Edward Vatnsdal Badger, Minnn. sec 29 Pohlits. Is somewhat sonserned about the drainage he will get in ditch 69 when the big swamp has water in it.

Leverne Haglund appearing for Thilda Haglunf sec.30 Range 39 Jadis. Refer to sheet # 21. In section 6 range 39 Spruce. Mr. Haglund has requested that we inform the engineers that he would just as soon have a spoil bank on the north side of the river as he believes that would give hin added protection against flood.

- ** Leonard Bjorkman Also states that the dikes should be high enough on the south side so that the water will not flow out of the river into the south fields.
- *** See sheet 21 # 66 structure.this #### should have a gate

Inga Nystrim Estate; Gordon Rugland appeared in their behalf. Section 21 Range 39 Jadis addition. The could be a problem here as the house is located very close to the river bank and the bank itself is very steep. See Plate 21.

Acen Magmusson Roseau, Minn. Section 12 & 13 Range 40 Jadis. Questions the size which is 24" and also the fact that it does not have a flap gate on structure # 70 plate #22.Mr. Magmusson also stated that if possible he would like to see the channel past his buildings run a little farther to the southeast and then the northwest bank could be sloped. This would tend to stop the bank eresion which exists. By movinf the channel a little farther southeast this would give more room for the farm buildings including the house.

Manfred A. Olson section 12 Jadis and 7 Spruce. No. Problems.

Leverne Vistad appaering for the Ole Vistad farms in section 12 Jadis range 40 and part in section 7 Spruce rangs 39 west. Needs entrance into the river from the west side to take care of the slough.

Jerome Swenson now owns the land of Forrest Swenson in section 12 Range 40 W Jadis. He is also representing the Forrest Swenson land in section 13 same twp. No great problems.

The Carrie Norquist land in section 6 Range 39 W Spruce is now owned by Eldor Norquist. We note there is no building shown and no exception shown on plate # 21.

Mrs, Clara Goetzinger, Mr. & Mrs, R.V. Harris, Selvin Bertilrud, Albert Boen, Rev. Theodore Kronberg, and A nn Skogstad appeared. They requested information which we were unable to supply due to the fact that we did not have the proper maps. We should have had maps and blu prints so we could have shown the distance from Main avecume on the west side to the river. Their big question was how much are we going to lose on the east sie and what will we have left. This we could not give them.

I gave Mr. Ofstedal a city map and he will make up map on this section for future use. I informed the people in the Village that we would try and get this information for them. A journed 5 P.M.

E.H. Nelson

Executive Secretary.

MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD, ON APRIL 12, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provance, at the courthouse in the Village of Roseau at 7:30 P.M.. Board members present were William Provance, Elby Engstrom, Melvin Larson, Maynard Braaten. Also present were Cap Nelson, Ray Sauve, Chester Yon and the following members of the Advisory Committee: Clifford Trangsrud, Vernon Erickson, Harold Grothem, Ed Baumgartner and Melford Nelson. County Commissioner, Manfred Holm, also attended.

The minutes of the February 10, 1971, meeting were read and approved.

The audit of the financial condition of the Roseau River Watershed District for the years 1969 and 1970 as prepared by Cap Nelson were thoroughly reviewed and on motion of Maynard Braaten and seconded by Melvin Larson, were unanimously accepted and approved.

The annual report for the years 1969 and 1970 were also approved in the above motion.

After reviewing the progress on project WD-1, it was the decision of the managers to proceed. The first step was to appoint appraisers. The following names were mentioned as possible candidates: Carlos Grove, Chester Dahlquist, Ed Brandli, Delmar Hagen and Sam Bergland. A motion was made by Maynard Braaten and seconded by Melvin Larson, that Chairman Provance contact the above mentioned persons for the job and in the event he was unable to fill the quota of three from the above five, he was to contact another member of the board and they would then make the appointments to fill the quota. Motion carried.

A discussion was had also on the financing of the WD-1 project. It was stated that the county was committed on this project and would make the necessary arrangements for funds. It was also approved by the board that we ask the county to furnish the culverts on the WD-1 project. on equity seed $e^{-1}/4$ 4/0

A letter and maps from the Minnesota State Highway Department relative to the removal of the implace bridge, constuct bridge No. 68002 and appurtenances thereto over the Roseau River together with the Channel work necessary as located in Section 23 and 24 of township 163 North, Range 40 West in Roseau County. Engineer Sauve was asked to review and study the detail and blueprints of the construction and offer an opinion. This he did and stated that the new construction to the best of his knowledge was adequate and sufficient. Upon motion by Maynard Breaten and seconded by Elby Engstrom that we accept the plans as sufficient was unanimously adopted.

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on April 12, 1971 Page two

A request was then made by Ray Sauve of the Roseau County Engineering Department for approval of the proposed new bridge over Center Street. After considerable discussion and study of the plans presented by Mr. Sauve, a motion was made by Elby Engstrom and seconded by Melvin Larson that the managers approve the bridge. Motion carried unanimously.

Cap Nelson brought up the question as to whether or not we should continue to pursue the avenue of obtaining funds for the Roseau River Flood Control Project from the Federal Government. It was the general concensus of opinion that we continue to investigate this source of funding.

Mr. Nelson also brought up the question as to what could be done to expedite the conclusion of the agreement between the Canadian Government and the Army Corp of Engineers. It was suggested that we contact Mr. Howard Holmgren of Sprague, Manitoba, and get his opinion on the question of getting citizens of Canada to contact the officials in Winnipeg who are in charge of this phase of the project. This directive was given by the board to Chairman Provance and Nelson to pursue further.

A discussion was held regarding the status of Project No. WD-2 located south and west of Roseau. The Advisory Committee members were questioned on this and they suggested that each section line running east and west be set up as an individual project, their thinking being that this might be more compatable to the individual land owner involved. It was also suggested that these project petitions be signed by the freeholders affected. It was the opinion of the managers that the Advisory committee pursue this matter and investigate the possibility of any futher action.

The status of Project No. WD-3, located in Ross, Dieter, Moose and Pohlitz was outlined by Engineer Sauve. He stated that the survey should be completed sometime in June but that actual construction could not be started this year. He also suggested the possibility of splitting this project up into 2 or 3 separate plans. This will be explored in the near future.

Cap Nelson was retained as accountant. His duties are to install a bookkeeping system as approved by the State Water Resources Board, to make the audits and such reports as are required by the State and local board of managers, to expedite all projects and to co-ordinate the program with the Army Corp of Engineers on the Roseau River Project. Also to act as Executive Secretary. This to be done on an hourly fee basis. This motion was made by Melvin Larson, seconded by Elby Engstrom and passed usanimously.

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Manager Meeting held on April 12, 1971 page three

The following bills were presented to the Board for approval:

Roseau Printing Company \$19.98 \frac{1}{256.00} \frac{1}{

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at $10:30\,$ P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Gustav Kveen, (Secretary

MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON APRIL 26, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provance at the Court House in the Village of Roseau, at 7:30 P.M. Board members present were William Provance, Elby Engstrom, Gust Kveen, Melvin Larson, Maynard Braaten. Others present were Chester Yon, Ray Sauve, Cap Nelson, Chester Dahlquist, Ed Brandli, Harold Grothem and Sam Bergland.

It was moved and seconded that the minutes of the previous meeting be waivedeand the motion carried.

Ray Sauve presented the report of the appraisers to the managers. After due consideration and study, a motion was made by Julius Larson, seconded by Elby Engstrom that the appraisers and engineers report on benefits and damages on project number WD-1, as submitted. The motion carried unanimously.

The next order of business was to set a date for the hearing on the above project. A final date agreed upon for the hearing was May 17, 1971, at 8:00 P.M. at the Roseau County Court House Court Room. Mr. Sauve, the engineer, also spoke on the progress being made on the project known as WD-3. He stated that the engineering is nearing completion but that the work would not commence until next year. He also stated that on one portion of this project the cost might be quite high, running as much as \$6000.00 for three miles. However, he did state that this project, when completed, would be highly successful in the way of drainage.

The following bills were presented to the Board for approval

Umana Tanana	
Hagen Insurance	\$50 . 00 ~
Clayton Boroos	257 . 08 V
Edwin Boroos	176-80 √
Ray Sauve	176.80 √ 752.00 √
Alien Boroos	167 06 /

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned upon motion made by Elby Engstrom and seconded by Gust Kveen.

Respectfully submitted,

Gustav Kveen, Secretary

MINTUES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON May 17, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provance, at the Court House in the court room at 7:30 P.M. All managers were present as well as Cap Nelson, Executive Secretary; Ray Sauve, Project Engineer; Chet Yon, Legal Council and Harold Grothem from the Advisory Board. Chester Dahlquist, Edward Brandli and S. B. Bergland, viewers, were also in attendance. The upcoming hearing, which was scheduled at 8:00 P.M. was discussed and a decision as to our method of presentation was formulated. It was decided that Mr. Yon act as spokesman for the board and lead the witnesses through the various phases of the program. The Board then temporarily adjourned their meeting and opened the hearing.

The hearing was opened with remarks by Chairman Provance giving a general picture of the project and as to how the meeting would be handled. He also acknowledged the petition which had been handed the Board just previous to the meeting. The petition had twenty-nine signatures and it was noted that only one of the signatures was that of a land owner living within the benefited or damaged area. The other signatures were from owners living along the river and most of them west of the project. Chairman Provance then called on attorney Yon to lead the presentation.

Mr. You first read the petition and explained the process by which the petition originated. He stated that the Roseau County Commissioners signed the Petition at the requrest of the land owners living within the drainage area of the project known as WD-1. The Board felt that the Roseau River Watershed District should handle the matter, and in view of this county ditch number 16 was turned over to them district for handling under their jurisdiction.

The next witness called was Vernon Erickson, former Roseau County Commissioner, from the third district. Mr. Erickson was on the County Board at the time the petition was signed. He stated in his testimony that the Board had been presented with a petition requesting this drainage project. After study by the Board they agreed that the project had merits and it was at this time that they turned the matter over to the Watershed District for evaluation. He also stated that he felt the project was well worthwhile and would be of great benefit to the land owner living within the confines of the drainage area of ditch 16.

The next witness on the stand was Project Engineer, R. A. Sauve. Mr. Sauve explained the various phases of the project, from the beginning with the survey to the final plans. He explained how the land owners would be benefited by the control of the water in the ditch 16 drainage area. He also explained how the viewers examined the area by forty acre tracts to determine the basis for benefits and damages. He listed the number of culverts required, also their size and locations. The spoil bank on the north end of the project would be placed on the east side of the ditch in order that it would serve as a dike to keep any water overflowing to the east. He also read the

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on May 17, 1971

the report which is on file with the Board of Managers showing the need and that project was sufficient.

The next witness called was Arnold Frosaker. He stated that he lived within the drainage area and felt that the project was worth—while and necessary to the economy of the area involved.

Mr. Nels Braaten also testified that he had suffered considerable damage by the flood water in the ditch 16 area and that he felt the Project would be of great benefit to the people within the drainage area.

The next witness was Emil O. Lee. Mr. Lee stated that he was against the project. It was his opinion that no more ditching should be done until the Roseau River Drainage Project had become a reality. He stated that any ditching would put more water into the Roseau River thereby adding to the already extreme flooding conditions of the river.

Mr. Sauve, the engineer, answered this by stating that in reality it would not put more water into the Roseau River. The water at the present time finds its way into the river, the only difference being that the distance of travel will be one mile less than previous. The state division of water also concurred with this.

Mr. Clifford Trangsrud who does not live in the assessed or benefited area testified as being against the project. His main objection was that he felt no more ditching should be done until the Roseau River Drainage project had been completed.

John Trangsrud also testified. He believed that no more drainage should be done until the completion of the River Project. He also does not live within the drainage area of ditch 16.

Clarence Wold, who also lives outside the area, brought up the question as to why these projects had to be done now and if it were not possible that it wait until the River Project was completed. It was stated that Mr. Sauve had answered this question by the fact that this project was not putting more water in the river.

Commissioner Manfred Holm asked engineer Sauve why the ridge by the Bujava farm had been cut through. Mr. Sauve stated that this was a cleanout job and that the ditch had only been restored to its original specifications.

There being no further testimony, the hearing was adjourned by Chairman. William Provence.

The Board of Managers meeting which had been temporarily adjourned was then called to order by Chairman Provance to act upon project known as WD-1 which was the subject of the hearing. The testimony was reviewed and studied for a considerable length of time and the following facts were disclosed.

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on May 17, 1971 page three

- 1. That there was only one objection from a land owner within the drainage area involved out of a possible fifty or sixty.
- 2. That the objections raised by the petitioners outside the drainage area involved was armatter of engineering data and it was their opinion that as long as the water from the area entered the river through the present system there would be no adverse effect by having it enter the river over a distance one mile less. The fact was established that there was no increase in the area drained, therefore the water volume would not be increased.
- 3. The fact that all the land owners had been notified by mail to attend the hearing and offer any objections or favorable testimony on the project indicated those involved in the assessments, benefits, or damages, were overwhelmingly for the project.
- 4. The engineering data showed the project to be sufficient and beneficial. That the improvement would be conducive to public health and promote the general welfare and is in compliance with the provisions and purposes of this chapter and that the benefits resulting therefrom will be approximately fifty percent greater than the cost of the project and damages.

In view of this evidence, the Board made findings accordingly and the following order for construction was made by Melvin Larson and seconded by Maynard Breaten, which was carried unanimously.

BEFORE THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT

In the Matter of the Construction of a Drainage and Flood Control Project known as WD-1

FINDINGS OF FACT AN ORDER

The above entitled matter came before the Board of Managers of the Roseau River Watershed District at the Court House in the Village of Roseau, Roseau County, Minnesota, on the 17% day of May, 1971 at 8 o'clock P.M. upon a project petition dated July 13, 1970 and signed by the Roseau County Board of Commissioners. At the time specified, various witnesses were called and testified as to the feasability of the project and the Board of Managers of the Roseau River Watershed District having heard the testimony on behalf of the project, and in opposition thereto, and being fully advised in the premises, makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That on the 13th day of July, 1970, a Petition was signed by the Roseau County Board of Commissioners requesting the construction of approximately one and one-fourth miles of new drainage ditch and the repair of approximately three miles of existing drainage ditch, formerly known as County Ditch No. 16 located in the Townships of Rose and Dieter, Roseau County, Minnesota.

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on May 17, 1971 page four

- 2. That the Project Engineer has made his survey and report based on said survey according to law.
- 3. That three disinterested freeholders of the State of Minnesota were duly appointed as viewers in connection with said project and their report has been filed with the Board of Managers.
- 4. That due notice of the hearing on the project, the viewers' report and the engineer's report has been duly given according to law.
- 5. That the Board of Managers of the Roseau RiverWatershed District have jurisdiction over said matter, and that the Petition is sufficient.
- 6. That the improvement as set forth in the project petition will be conducive to public health and promote the general welfare and is in compliance with the provisions and purposes of Chapter 112, Minnesota Statutes.
- 7. That the project engineer, R. A. S uve, has made his report and cost estimate and estimates that the cost of the construction of the project will be \$18,046.58, of which Federal aid has been received in the amount of \$5,500.00.
- 8. That the viewers duly appointed and qualified have made their report that the project will benefit the lands effected in the amount of \$27,640.00 and will cause damages to the lands effected in the amount of \$2,200.00 and that therefore the benefits resulting from the project will be greater than the cost of the construction and the damages caused by said construction.
- 9. That based upon the following, the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers hereby

ORDERS

- 1. That the construction of Watershed District Project No. $\mbox{WD-1}$ be, and the same hereby is, ordered and established.
- 2. That bids for the construction of the work bee called for and that notice thereof be given by publication for two successive weeks in the Construction Bulletin, published at 1022 Upper Midwest Building, 425 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401, and that the bids thereon be received and opened at 8 o'clock p,m. on the 7th day of 30 time, 1971, at the court house at Roseau, Minnesota.
- 3. That the report of the project engineer, R. A. Sauve, be, and the same hereby is, confirmed.

Minutes of the Roseau River Wetershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on May 17, 1971 page five

- 4. That the findings and report of the appraisers, C. 8. Dahlquist, S. 8. Bergland and Ed Brandli be, and the same hereby are, confirmed.
- 5. That a certified copy of this order be filed with the Auditor of the County of Roseau, the Minnesota Water Resources Board, the Commissioner of Conservation, the Director of the Division of Waters and the Minnesota State Department of Health.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1971

ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT

BY/s/ Wm Provance Wm Grove
Wm. Provance, President

Gustav Kveen, Secretary

Upon motion by Elby Engstrom and seconded by Gust Kveen the following claims were approved and ordered paid:

Roseau Printing Co.	\$76.50 18.55 127.04
Clayton Boroos Survey WD-3	127.04
Edwin Boroos Survey ŴD-3	86.4U V
Allan Boroos " "	83.52
R. A. Sauve Engineer "	480.00
Maynard Braaten-Per Diem & Mileage	96.00 🗸
Wm. Provence " " "	8 0. 00 🗸
Chester B. Dahlquist - Viewer	70.00
Edward Brandli "	70.00 191.20
S. B. Bergland	70.00 🗸
E. H. Nelson, Sec. fees % supp.	150.29
Gustav Kveen, Mgr Per diem % Mileage	67.20
E. Engstrom - Per Diem and Mileage	140.00
Melvin Larson- Per Diem & Mileage	112.00

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Gustav Kveen, Secretary

Note: Managers per diem includes this meeting on 5/17/71.

MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON

June 7, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provence, at the Court House in the Village of Roseau at 8:00 P.M.. Board Members present were William Provence, Gust Kveen, Melvin Larson and Maynard Breaten. Others present were Cap Nelson and Ray Sauve.

Reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was waived on motion by Melvin Larson, seconded by Gust Kveen and carried.

The following bids were received in response to the notice for bids on project WD-1 published on May 20th and May 27, 1971:

Amt of had

	AMT OT DEG
Chinny Construction Co. Greenbush	\$10,784.00
Stephen Excavation Co., Stephen	9,521.36
Kelly Construction Co., Crookston	11,993.85
Duane Comstock - Roseau	13,213.18
Novacek & Gonshoroski- Greenbush	11,067.89
Radnick & Jenson Bonstr Thief River Falls	11,904.75
O. K. Machine Co Roseau	11,505.90
Irving Laneppa Constr Aurore	22,400.05

All bids were reviewed by the Board. The bid from Stephen Excavation Company being the lowest was checked for errors and sufficient bond. This was all found to be in order and a motion was made by Malvin Larson, seconded by Maynard Braaten, that the bid from the Stephen Excavation Company be accepted in the amount of \$9,521.36. Motion carried.

The following bills were presented for approval and ordered paid:

Rosesu Printing Co., -	adv.	b ids	\$22.55
Chapin Publishing Co.,	adv.	bid s	32.40

There being n o further business, the meeting was adjourned upon motion by Melvin Larson and seconded by Gust Kveen.

Respectfully submitted,

Gustav Kveen. Secretarv

MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON AUGUST 2, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman, William Provance, at the Court House in the Village of Roseau at 7:00 P.M. Board members present were Maynard Braaten, Melvin Larson, William Provance and Gust Kveen.

Reading of the minutes for the last five meetings were heard and approved.

The following motion was made by Maynard Braaten and seconded by Melvin Larson:

Motion made to authorize Ray Sauve, our Engineer, to remove the 60" culvert and replace with a 48" culvert at the SW corner of the NW_{\pm}^{1} of the NW_{\pm}^{1} , Section 15 - 162 - 41, Ross and install the removed 60" culvert (CMP) on the west side of the SW_{\pm}^{1} of the NW_{\pm}^{1} , Section 10 - 162 - 41, Ross township. Motion carried.

Motion made by Melvin Larson, seconded by Maynard Braaten to approve payment of contract on WD-1 to the Stephen Excavating Company as follows: Amount of contract \$9,521.36 less 10% (\$952.13) being withheld until completion of contract, leaving a net total of \$8,569.23 to be paid at once as follows: The amount of \$5,400.00 to by paid by the Roseau County Highway Department and the balance of \$3,169.23 paid out of general funds by the Roseau River Watershed District. The motion was unanimously passed.

The treasurers report compiled by E. H. Nelson was read and approved.

A report on the progress of project WD-3 was given by Engineer Ray Sauve as follows: All the field work is completed. On the farthest west lateral the plan is 95% completed. On the farthest east lateral the plan is 50% complete and on the central lateral the plan is about 10% complete. The report is about 60% completed.

A motion was made by Gust Kveen and seconded by Melvin Larson that the document necessary to be filed with the County Board be completed and filed at the earliest possible date so that the assessments can be made against all of the affected property. Motion carried.

The Board also authorized the treasurer to pay the damages to those affected on project #WD-1.

Upon motion by Gust Kveen and seconded by Melvin Larson, the following claims were approved and ordered paid:

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting held on August 2, 1971 (Cont.) page two

Ray Sauve (Inspection on WD-1)	\$192.00
Clayton Boroos (Survey on WD3)	524.38 V
Bruce Boroos (Survey on WD-3)	131.00
Edwin Boroos (Survey on WD-3)	421.60
Ray Sauve (Engineering on WD-3)	988.80
Roseau Electric (Moving line on WD-	1) 1128.95
E. H. Nelson (Accounting & Expense)	292.00 1

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectufully submitted,

Gustav Kveen, Secretary

MINUTES OF THE ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 4, 1971

The meeting was called to order by Chairman William Provance, at the Roseau County Court House in the Village of Roseau at 7:00 P.M. Board members present were William Provance, Gust Kveen, Melvin Larson and Maynard Braaten. Others present were E. H. Nelson, executive secretary and R. A. Sauve, Engineer.

Minutes of the last meeting were read and approved.

The treasurers report and compiled by E. H. Nelson was read and approved.

The viewers final report and Lien statement showing the detailed statement as to the cost of Project WD-1 and the tabular forms showing complete description, number of acres by tracts of 40 acres, amount of benefits per 40 acres, cost factor, amount to be assessed, damages awarded to each 40 acre tract and the net amount to be assessed per 40 acres. Also a recapitulation sheet showing the amount of assessment to be recovered and also the amount of damages paid out in cash. There also appeared a summary of the tabular sheets showing other pertinent figures. A motion was made by Gust Kveen and seconded by Melvin Larson that the above reports be approved and that the County Board be instructed to Levy the assessment provided in the Lien statement and to spread said assessment over a ten year period with interest at the rate of 6% per annum. Motion was unanimously passed.

A discussion was held regarding the culvert requested by Knute Byfuglien and the matter was tabled.

After a lengthy discussion regarding the question of membership in the Minnesota Association of Watershed Districts, it was the general concensus of opinion that the Board of Managers would gain a lot of valuable information and benefits by becoming associated with this organization. It was felt that the past experience of other districts and how they resolved their problems would be of great benefit. A motion was made by Maynard Braaten and seconded by Gust Kveen that the Roseau River Watershed District submit their application for Membership in the above mentioned Association and that the following delegates and alternates be appointed to attend the meeting to be held on November 29, 1971. Hour and place to be designated in the near future by the Corporation officers. Maynard Braaten and William Provance to act as delegates and Gust Kveen and Melvin Larson to act as alternates. Motion carried unanimously.

The following claims were approved upon motion by Braaten and seconded by Larson.

Minutes of the Roseau River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting Held on October 4, 1971 (cont.) page two

Mrs. Delaine Larson (typing for Engineer Sauve on WD-1)	\$ 57.60 \(\)
R. A. Sauve (Engineering on WD-1)	880.00
Citizens State Bank (copy paper)	19.40
Lloyd Oftedal (Engineering on Roseau River Project	1,874.43 4
Rose Strandlund (typing Lien statements)	17.00
HAGEN INS.	50.00

The following budget for the Watershed District for the year 1972 was discussed and adopted as follows:

Bond Premium	Adm.	\$ 50.00
Board Members Per Diem	Adm.	750.00
Engineering	Projects	750.00
Legal	Projects	750.00
Legal	Adm.	200.00
Audits reports, ect.	Adm.	\$750.00
Supplies	Adm.	100.00
Membership State Association	Adm.	100.00
Contingency Reserve	Projects	2000.00
Project WD-3 remaining engineering	& plans @\$500. per mi.	2000.00
Association meeting expense	adm.	175.00
Loan repayment	Other	5000.00

Total overall budget

\$12625.00

Administration Budget total

\$2,125.00

Above motion was carried.

Upon motion by Melvin Larson and seconded by Maynard Braaten, the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to M.S.A. Section 112.61, subdivision 3, the Roseau River Watershed District does hereby levy an ad valorem tax levy of three mills on each dollar of assessed valuation of all taxable property within the district, such funds to be used for general administration expenses and for the construction and maintenance of project of common benefit to the district.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Gustay Kveen, Secretary.